Dear Jim, re Oswald/Mexico 7/5/84

This will be incomplete in order for me to get it in the morning's mail and with luck have it to you in your Monday's mail. After weeks of delay a landscaper his called to tell me he is about to come to prepare a damage estimate for an insurance company and that I must get done and when I went to the cellar to get and examine the appeals I found that my dehumidified was clogged and I had to get it working. Took time I'd planned to use for this.

In the FBI Oswald-Mexico appeals file, which is thick, as I skimmed it I marked 14 items for copying for you if you do not have a separate file of those particular appeals. I will want to go over them and avoid making copies of duplicates. Also, it is probable that I gave you the best copies, particularly where I used carbons. So, before I make any copies, please let me know if I should. If you have a separate file it is probable that I should not because you'll have better copies than I can provide.

In skimming I noticed what I'd forgotten, that I have an FBI records referring to the CIA's "sensitive" coverage of both the Russian and Cuban embassies in Mexico City. And, among other things, I sent Shea copies of Summers page 379 and the LA Times use of the Ron Kessler story.

I must have a separate file for 287a because I did not see it in this file.

"Sensitive" coverage need not refer to electronic, although I suspect it does.

This file does not include any response of any kind from Shea or the FBI. I therefore believe I received none.

I also appealed for a review of classification when the then new EO went into effect.

I'll be keeping this file out of file and upstairs until I hear from you so please let me know as soon as you can.

I suppose but do not know that I have the 287a stuff under "classification," and if I do it may take some time to locate it. I'll try to check tomorrow.

Best,